Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 1883 Filed 05/19/21 Page 1 of 9

the "Parties") respectfully provide this Joint Case Management Statement in advance of the Further Case Management Conference scheduled for May 21, 2021.

I. PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

The conference will proceed via Zoom, and the Parties will not appear in person. Anyone who wishes to attend the conference must log in using the information available at: https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/orrick-william-h-who/.

II. ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED BELOW AND PROPOSED AGENDA

- 1. Status of Case Filings and Dismissals
- 2. Case Management Matters
- 3. Discovery Status
- 4. ADR Status

III. STATUS OF CASE FILINGS AND DISMISSALS

As of May 19, 2021, approximately 2,155⁶ cases are pending in this MDL, naming 107 defendants. A list of these defendants is attached as **Exhibit A**. To date, 1,860 personal injury cases and 207 government entity cases (including 166 school districts, 20 counties, 2 cities, and 19 tribes) have been filed in this MDL. 420 MDL plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed their cases (414 personal injury plaintiffs and 3 class plaintiffs and 3 school districts); 144 cases have been dismissed without prejudice pursuant to CMO Nos. 8 and 12; and 90 other cases are subject to pending motions to dismiss without prejudice hat have not yet been ruled upon. Furthermore, 96 case dismissals without prejudice have been converted to dismissals with prejudice pursuant to CMO No. 8.

There are 435 complaints pending in JCCP 5052, which is assigned to Judge Ann I. Jones of the Los Angeles Superior Court as the Coordination Trial Judge. There are 83 government entity cases, including 78 school districts and 349 personal injury cases brought on behalf of over 2,601 individual personal injury plaintiffs. There are 16 defendants named in those JCCP cases.

CONFERENCE STATEMENT

⁶ The numbers in this Statement reflect the Parties' good faith estimates based on reasonably available information. The Parties will continue to work together to align their data and resolve any inconsistencies.

Joint Case Management

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27

28

The Parties are also aware of 15 cases filed by State Attorneys General specifically: California, Illinois, Hawaiʻi, New York, North Carolina, Mississippi, Minnesota, Washington D.C., Arizona, Pennsylvania, New Mexico, Massachusetts, Colorado, Alaska and Washington. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel continue their outreach to various State Attorneys General to discuss cooperation with this MDL.

An update on matters of significance (including hearings, schedules, deadlines, depositions, substantive orders, and trial dates) in Related Actions as defined by the Joint Coordination Order (CMO 9, ECF No. 572 at 1, 3), is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

IV. CASE MANAGEMENT MATTERS

A. Answers To Class And Bellwether Government Entity Complaints.

On .April 13, 2021, the Court issued its Order On Second Round Of Motions To Dismiss. (ECF No. 1694.) The opinion addressed certain claims asserted in the Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint and seven of the Second Amended Public Entity Complaints. Previously, time to answer the complaints was stayed. (*See* PTO #1, ECF No. 2; 2nd Amd. CMO #3, ECF No. 996.) In light of the Court's opinion, the Parties propose that Defendants be required to answer the complaints at issue in the Second Round Motion to Dismiss Order by July 15, 2021.

B. Potential Personal Jurisdiction Challenges.

The E-Liquid Defendants respectfully request that the Court allow them to challenge personal jurisdiction as to the non-bellwether personal injury plaintiffs. (The E-Liquid Defendants have been dismissed from all bellwether cases.) E-Liquid Defendants assert that they are not subject to personal jurisdiction in California as alleged in this matter, and as the discovery process grows increasingly complex and expensive, the E-Liquid Defendants have an interest in asserting their due process rights with respect to this Court's jurisdiction.

Plaintiffs maintain that this request is premature. Discovery is incomplete as to these defendants and the motion practice should await completion of discovery. Since the E-Liquid defendants have been dismissed from the bellwether cases they do not incur any bellwether discovery or trial costs, and their only obligations at this juncture are producing discovery.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Moreover, Plaintiffs just learned that the E-Liquid Defendants Mother Murphy and Alternative Ingredients ceased doing business with JLI and there are important discovery questions arising from that development that have not been explored at all from this very recent development. C. **Trial Mechanics** The parties are scheduling a meet and confer among lead counsel to discuss and ideally agree on some basic processes for trial. Topics will include: 1. Witness availability at trial (either live or via remote means), 2. Use of exhibits in opening statement, 3. Witness separation rules, 4. Timing and page limits on briefing during trial, 5. Jury questionnaire, 6. Admissibility of JLI documents as business records, 7. Permitting questions from jurors, 8. Video recording of trial proceedings, and 9. Motion in limine conference by lead trial counsel.

The parties anticipate discussing at least some of these issues with the Court at the June 2021 CMC. Through their conferral process, the parties intend to narrow any disputes, and present the Court with a mindful and deliberate plan for trial of these cases.

D. **Government Entity Bellwether Submissions**

There are six Wave One government entity bellwethers—King County, the City of Rochester, and school districts in San Francisco, Tucson, West Palm Beach, and Goddard (Kansas)—that are being prepared for trial. On May 19, Plaintiffs proposed that the parties submit to the Court letter briefs setting forth their views regarding the selection and sequencing of the Wave One bellwethers for trial, as is being done with the personal injury bellwether cases. Plaintiffs simultaneously requested that the parties meet and confer regarding proposed dates for such a submission. Defendants agree that letter briefing on this issue would be helpful and have agreed to meet and confer regarding proposed dates, but believe that it is premature to set a date now, including because discovery of the six bellwethers is far from complete. The parties would

also welcome any guidance the Court wishes to provide regarding an appropriate date for such submissions.

E. Native American Tribal Plaintiff Fact Sheets

Plaintiffs and Defendants have worked cooperatively to prepare a Native American Tribal Plaintiff Fact Sheet and corresponding Implementation Order. Both were filed on May 19, 2021 for the Court's consideration. (ECF No. 1881.)

V. DISCOVERY STATUS

On April 28, 2021 and May 10, 2021, the Parties participated in a discovery conferences with Judge Corley. Copies of the April 27, 2021 and May 7, 2021 Joint Discovery Status Reports provided in advance of those conferences are attached as **Exhibits C & D**. The parties will be prepared to update the Court regarding developments since that conference.

The MDL Plaintiffs are holding weekly calls with JCCP counsel regarding discovery, as detailed by the Joint Coordination Order (CMO No. 9, ECF No. 572) and the Deposition Protocol (CMO No. 10, ECF No. 573). Pursuant to the Court's Order Appointing Special Master to Oversee Depositions (ECF No. 1786), Retired United States District Court Judge Stephen G. Larson has attended and provided guidance in depositions.

VI. ADR STATUS

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-10(d), the Parties report that they continue to confer with Settlement Master Thomas J. Perrelli and cooperate with his recommendations.

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 1883 Filed 05/19/21 Page 6 of 9

1	Dated: May 19 2021	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3	By: <u>/s/ Renee D. Smith</u>	By: /s/ Sarah R. London
4	Renee D. Smith (pro hac vice)	Sarah R. London
5	James F. Hurst (<i>pro hac vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN
6	300 N. LaSalle Chicago, IL 60654	275 Battery Street, Fl. 29 San Francisco, CA 94111
7	Telephone: (312) 862-2310	Telephone: (415) 956-1000
8	By: /s/ Peter A. Farrell	By: /s/ Dena C. Sharp
9		•
10	Peter A. Farrell (<i>pro hac vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	Dena C. Sharp GIRARD SHARP LLP
11	1301 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.	601 California St., Suite 1400
12	Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 389-5959	San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 981-4800
13	By: /s/ Gregory P. Stone	Dry /r/Dans Vrancus etc
14		By: /s/ Dean Kawamoto
15	Gregory P Stone, SBN 78329 Bethany W. Kristovich, SBN 241891 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	Dean Kawamoto KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
16	350 South Grand Avenue Fiftieth Floor	1201 Third Ave., Ste. 3200 Seattle, WA 98101
17	Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 Telephone: (213) 683-9100	Telephone: (206) 623-1900
18	Attorneys for Defendant Juul Labs, Inc.	By: /s/ Ellen Relkin
19		Ellen Relkin
20		WEITZ & LUXENBERG
21		700 Broadway New York, NY 10003
22		Telephone: (212) 558-5500
23		Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1		
2	By: /s/ John C. Massaro	By: /s/ James Kramer
3	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP	ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
456	John C. Massaro (admitted pro hac vice) Jason A. Ross (admitted pro hac vice) 601 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington D.C. 20001	James Kramer Roland Chang The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street
7 8	Telephone: (202) 942-5000 Facsimile: (202) 942-5999 john.massaro@arnoldporter.com Jason.ross@arnoldporter.com	San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: (415) 773-5700 jkramer@orrick.com rdchang@orrick.com
9 10	Attorneys for Defendants Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris USA Inc.	Attorneys for Defendant James Monsees
11	By: /s/ Eugene Illovsky	By: /s/ Michael J. Guzman
12	BOERSCH & ILLOVSKY LLP	KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL & FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.
1314151617	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (415) 500-6643 eugene@boersch-illovsky.com martha@boersch-illovsky.com matt@boersch-illovsky.com	Mark C. Hansen Michael J. Guzman David L. Schwartz Sumner Square, 1615 M St., N.W., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 326-7910 mguzman@kellogghansen.com Attorneys for Defendants Nicholas Pritzker,
18 19	Attorneys for Defendant Adam Bowen	Riaz Valani, and Hoyoung Huh
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
28		

1	By: /s/ Mitchell B. Malachowski	By: /s/ Robert Scher
2	TYSON & MENDES, LLP	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
3	James E. Sell Mitchell B. Malachowski Stephen Budica	Robert Scher Peter N. Wang Graham D. Welch
5	April M. Cristal 523 4th Street, Suite 100 San Pafael, CA 04001	Dyana K. Mardon 90 Park Avenue
6	San Rafael, CA 94901 Telephone: (628) 253-5070 jsell@tysonmendes.com	New York, NY 10016-1314 Telephone: (212) 682-7474 Facsimile: (212) 687-2329
7	mmalachowski@tysonmendes.com sbudica@tysonmendes.com	rscher@foley.com pwang@foley.com
8	acristal@tysonmendes.com	gwelch@foley.com dmardon@foley.com
9	Attorneys for Defendants Mother Murphy's Labs, Inc., and Alternative Ingredients, I	Attorney for Defendants Tobacco Technology, Inc., and Eliquitech, Inc.
11	By: /s/ Michael L. O'Donnell	By: /s/ Christopher J. Esbrook
12	WHEELER TRIGG O'DONNELL LLP	ESBROOK LAW P.C.
13	Michael L. O'Donnell James E. Hooper	Christopher J. Esbrook
1415	Marissa Ronk 370 17th Street, Ste. 4500 Denver, CO 80202	David F. Pustilnik Michael S. Kozlowski 77 W. Wacker, Suite 4500
16	Telephone: (303) 244-1850 Odonnell@wtotrial.com hooper@wtotrial.com	Chicago, IL 60601 Telephone: (312) 319-7681 christopher.esbrook@esbrooklaw.com
17 18	Ronk@wtotrial.com	david.pustilnik@esbrooklaw.com michael.kozlowski@esbrooklaw.com
19	Attorneys for Defendant McLane Company, Inc.	Attorneys for Defendants Eby-Brown Company, LLC, Circle K Stores, and 7-
20		Eleven, Inc., Speedway, and Walgreen Co.
21	By: /s/ David R. Singh	
22	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	
23	David R. Singh Bambo Obaro 201 Redwood Shores Parkway, 6th Floor	
24	Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3083	
25	david.singh@weil.com bambo.obaro@weil.com	
2627	Attorneys for Defendant Core-Mark Holding Company, Inc.	
28		

1	By: /s/ Donald F. Zimmer, Jr.
2	KING & SPALDING LLP
3	Donald F. Zimmer, Jr.
4	Quyen L. Ta Jennifer T. Stewart
5	101 Second Street, Suite 1000 San Francisco, CA 94105
6 7	Telephone: (415) 318-1200 fzimmer@kslaw.com qta@kslaw.com jstewart@kslaw.com
8	Attorneys for Defendant Walmart Inc.
9	By: /s/ Charles C. Correll Jr
10	KING & SPALDING LLP
11	Andrew T. Bayman (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) 1180 Peachtree Street, Suite 1600 Atlanta, GA 30309
12	Telephone: (404) 572-4600 abayman@kslaw.com
13	and
141516	Charles C. Correll, Jr. Matthew J. Blaschke Alessandra M. Givens 101 Second Street, Suite 2300
17	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200
18	ccorrell@kslaw.com mblaschke@kslaw.com agivens@kslaw.com
19	Attorneys for Defendant Chevron Corporation
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	4844-4125-1301, v. 1 2237504.2
28	